

A Homological Approach to Factorization

J Coykendall B Goodell*

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Clemson University

JMM, Jan 2017

Acknowledgement: This presentation was supported in part by the AMS
Graduate Student Travel Grant.

Motivation: Spectrum of Factorization Behavior

Def: An element $x \in D$ is (i) *atomic* if x is a product of irreducibles, (ii) *almost-atomic* if some atomic $b \in D$ exists so that $xb = a$ is atomic, (iii) *quasi-atomic* if xy is atomic for any $y \in D$.

Ex: [Almost-atomic (Coykendall, -)] Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an odd prime, $R := (\mathbb{F}_2[X, X^{2/p}, X^{2/p^2}, \dots])_{\text{int}}$. Then each X^{2/p^m} is not atomic, X is irreducible, but $X^2 = X^{2/p^m} \cdot X^{2/p^m} \dots X^{2/p^m}$.

Ex: [Quasi-atomic (Lebowitz-Lockard)] $R := \mathbb{Z}[X] + X^2\mathbb{R}[X]$. Non-zero non-units with order 0 or 1 are atomic, higher order elements are quasi-atomic or almost-atomic.

Examples of quasi-atomic domains that are not almost-atomic are delicate. **Wanted/Challenge:** Example of quasi-atomic quasi-local domain with a unique irreducible up to associates and is not almost-atomic.

Object of Study: Cochains of localizations

Let D be an integral domain, quotient field $D \subseteq \mathbb{F}$, unit group $U(D) \subseteq D$. The (po-)group of divisibility is $G(D) := \mathbb{F}^*/U(D)$. Atoms in D are atoms in $G(D)$.

Approach: Study cochains of localizations at sat'd. mult. closed sets generated by atoms

$$D \subseteq D_{S_1} \subseteq D_{S_2} \subseteq \dots$$

Compute $G(D_{S_i})$, construct cochain complexes, compute cohomology groups, discuss properties related to factorization in D .

Why? Groups of divisibility model factorization using po-group structure. Localizing at atoms changes factorization, creates new units and irreducibles. **Ex:** $\mathbb{F}[X, Y, \frac{Y}{X}, \frac{Y}{X^2}, \dots]$

Cochain of localizations \rightarrow cochain of epimorphisms

Let \mathcal{S} be all sat'd. mult. closed sets in D , let \mathcal{H} be all convex directed subgroups in $G(D)$.

Question: Computing group of divisibility at each step, can we fill in the bottom row with po-group morphisms?

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} D & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & D_{S_1} & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & D_{S_2} & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & \dots \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ G(D) & & G(D_{S_1}) & & G(D_{S_2}) & & \end{array}$$

Answer: Yes, group of divisibility is functorial (Coykendall, -).

Moreover, (i) \exists one-to-one correspondence $\Theta : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ (Mott), (ii) $\exists H \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $G(D_S) = G(D)/H$ (Mott), and (iii) morphisms in bottom row are natural epimorphisms.

Cochain of localizations \rightarrow cochain of epimorphisms

Let \mathcal{S} be all sat'd. mult. closed sets in D , let \mathcal{H} be all convex directed subgroups in $G(D)$.

Question: Computing group of divisibility at each step, can we fill in the bottom row with po-group morphisms?

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} D & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & D_{S_1} & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & D_{S_2} & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & \dots \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ G(D) & \dashrightarrow^{\pi_0} & G(D_{S_1}) & \dashrightarrow^{\pi_1} & G(D_{S_2}) & \dashrightarrow^{\pi_2} & \dots \end{array}$$

Answer: Yes, group of divisibility is functorial (Coykendall, -).

Moreover, (i) \exists one-to-one correspondence $\Theta : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ (Mott), (ii) $\exists H \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $G(D_S) = G(D)/H$ (Mott), and (iii) morphisms in bottom row are natural epimorphisms.

Bulding cochain complexes from cochains of epimorphisms

Define $\pi_n : G(D)/H_n \twoheadrightarrow G(D)/H_{n+1}$, $Q_n := \ker(\pi_n) = H_{n+1}/H_n$,
 $\widehat{Q}_n = \pi_n^{-1}(Q_{n+1})$, let $A_n \subseteq Q_n$ be any subgroup, $\widehat{A}_n = \pi_n^{-1}(A_{n+1})$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \rightarrow & G(D_{S_{n-1}}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{n-1}} & G(D_{S_n}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_n} & G(D_{S_{n+1}}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{n+1}} & \dots \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 \dots & & \widehat{Q}_{n-1} & & \widehat{Q}_n & & \widehat{Q}_{n+1} & & \\
 & & \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow & \searrow & \\
 \dots & & \widehat{A}_{n-1} & & \widehat{A}_n & & \widehat{A}_{n+1} & & \\
 & & \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow & \searrow & \\
 \dots & & Q_{n-1} & & Q_n & & Q_{n+1} & & \dots \\
 & & \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow & \searrow & \\
 \dots & & A_{n-1} & & A_n & & A_{n+1} & & \dots \\
 & & \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow & \searrow & \\
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & & \dots
 \end{array}$$

(Rightward maps induced by $\{\pi_n\}$ restricted appropriately).

Quotient Sequence to Cochain Complexes II

Now we have cochain complexes

$$A_{\bullet} = \cdots \longrightarrow A_{n-1} \longrightarrow A_n \longrightarrow A_{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

$$Q_{\bullet} = \cdots \longrightarrow Q_{n-1} \longrightarrow Q_n \longrightarrow Q_{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

$$\widehat{A}_{\bullet} = \cdots \longrightarrow \widehat{A}_{n-1} \longrightarrow \widehat{A}_n \longrightarrow \widehat{A}_{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

$$\widehat{Q}_{\bullet} = \cdots \longrightarrow \widehat{Q}_{n-1} \longrightarrow \widehat{Q}_n \longrightarrow \widehat{Q}_{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

\widehat{Q}_{\bullet} is exact, Q_{\bullet} and A_{\bullet} are trivial. We also obtain quotient cochain complexes like

$$\frac{\widehat{A}_{\bullet}}{Q_{\bullet}} = \cdots \longrightarrow \frac{\widehat{A}_{n-1}}{Q_{n-1}} \longrightarrow \frac{\widehat{A}_n}{Q_n} \longrightarrow \frac{\widehat{A}_{n+1}}{Q_{n+1}} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

among others, some of which are trivial, some are exact... but the complexes Q_{\bullet} , \widehat{A}_{\bullet} , and $\widehat{A}_{\bullet}/Q_{\bullet}$ seem to play an important role.

Cochain complexes \rightarrow cohomology groups

For a cochain complex $X_\bullet = \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{\delta_n} X_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+1}} \cdots$, we define the n^{th} cohomology group $H^n(G, X_\bullet) = \ker(\delta_n) / \text{Im}(\delta_{n-1})$.

For a short exact sequence of cochain complexes $1 \rightarrow X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet \rightarrow Z_\bullet \rightarrow 1$, we have the long exact sequence in cohomology

$$H^0(G, X_\bullet) \rightarrow H^0(G, Y_\bullet) \rightarrow H^0(G, Z_\bullet) \rightarrow H^1(G, X_\bullet) \rightarrow \cdots$$

For $1 \rightarrow Q_\bullet \rightarrow \hat{A}_\bullet \rightarrow \hat{A}_\bullet / Q_\bullet \rightarrow 1$, we get

$$Q_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} Q_0 \xrightarrow{0} A_1 \xrightarrow{\subset} Q_1 \xrightarrow{\pi} Q_1/A_1 \xrightarrow{0} A_2 \xrightarrow{\subset} \cdots$$

which reduces to $1 \rightarrow Q_0 \cong Q_0 \rightarrow 1$ for $n = 0$ and for $n \geq 1$,

$$1 \rightarrow A_n \xrightarrow{\subset} Q_n \xrightarrow{\pi} Q_n/A_n \rightarrow 1$$

Cohomology, torsion, factorization, oh my!

How do we interpret the resulting short exact sequence?

$$1 \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow Q_n \rightarrow Q_n/A_n \rightarrow 1$$

A_n is subgroup generated by atoms, Q_n is subgroup generated by quasi-atomic elements.

Hence, Q_n/A_n is composed of the cosets of the atomic subgroup with quasi-atomic representatives! Thus, torsion in Q_n/A_n brings quasi-atomic elements under the almost-atomic umbrella.

Ex: Let $T = \mathbb{R}[X, Y^r \mid r \in \mathbb{Q}^+]$, $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq T$ the ideal of monomials, set $R' := T/\mathfrak{M}$, $I := (X^2 + Y^2) \subseteq R'$, $R := R'/I$. Then X is an atom, each $(Y^r U(R))A_n$ has finite order in A_n , and each Y^r is quasi-atomic and not almost-atomic.

Foundation, generalizations, further reading

Mott described the one-to-one correspondence Θ in *Convex directed subgroups of a group of divisibility* (Can. Journal of Math., 1974).

Above we glossed over many details involving po-group theory, but po-groups involve quite a bit of delicacy. Details of our approach, with some extensions (structure theorems) at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.4759>.

Lebowitz-Lockard presents example $\mathbb{Z}[X] + X^2\mathbb{R}[X]$ along with some further generalizations of factorization behavior at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.05874>.